

**To be published in Part-I Section I of the Gazette of India Extraordinary**

**F. No. 6/31/2026-DGTR  
Government of India  
Ministry of Commerce & Industry  
Directorate General of Trade Remedies (DGTR)  
4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Tara Building, 5, Parliament Street, New Delhi- 110001**

**Dated: 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2026**

**INITIATION NOTIFICATION  
SETU Case ID- AD/OI/032/2026**

**Subject: Anti-dumping investigation concerning imports of “Carbon Raiser made of Anthracite Coal” originating in or exported from China PR.**

1. **F. No. 6/31/2026-DGTR:** Having regard to the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 as amended from time to time (hereinafter referred to as the “Act”) and the Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Anti-dumping duty on Dumped Articles for Determination of Injury) Rules, 1995 as amended from time to time (hereinafter referred to as the “Rules” or the “Anti-dumping Rules”), M/s. Carbon Resources Private Limited (hereinafter also referred to as the “Applicant” ) has filed an application before the Designated Authority (hereinafter referred to as the “Authority”), for initiation of an anti-dumping investigation concerning imports of “Carbon Raiser made of Anthracite Coal” (hereinafter referred to as “subject goods” or “product under consideration” or “PUC”), originating in or exported from China PR (hereinafter referred to as “subject country”)
2. The Applicant has alleged that dumped imports of the subject goods from the subject country are causing material injury and has requested the imposition of anti-dumping duty on the imports of the subject goods from the subject country. The Applicant has also sought provisional duties on the imports of subject goods from the subject country.

**A. PRODUCT UNDER CONSIDERATION (PUC)**

3. The product under consideration in the present application is “Carbon Raiser made of Anthracite Coal”.
4. The PUC is also known as Carbon Additive or Carburizer, Electrically Calcined Anthracite and Gas Calcined Anthracite. The PUC is added to molten metal (typically steel, foundry, aluminium, and other metallurgical industries) to increase the carbon content in the metal. It is also used in making cathode blocks and electrode paste.
5. The PUC covers all types, grades, and forms of Carbon Raiser made of Anthracite Coal, including but not limited to lump, granule, powder, or briquetted forms, whether or not subjected to further processing such as crushing, sizing, blending, or coating, and irrespective of particle size, fixed carbon content, ash content, Sulphur content, or other physical/chemical specifications.
6. The product is measured in Metric Tons (MT) or Kilogram (Kg).

7. The product under consideration is classified under Chapter 27 of the Customs Tariff Act under the sub-headings 27011100. However, the Applicant has alleged that the product under consideration is also imported under the code 28030090, 38019000, 38021000 and 38249900. The customs classification is indicative only and not binding on the scope of the product under consideration.
8. The Applicant has not proposed any product control numbers (PCN) methodology in its application. The parties to the present investigation may provide their comments on the scope of PUC and propose product control numbers (PCN) methodology, if any, within 15 days of receipt of intimation of initiation of the investigation.

#### **B. LIKE ARTICLE**

9. There is no known difference between the subject goods produced by the domestic industry and the product under consideration imported from the subject country. The subject goods produced by the domestic industry are comparable to the product under consideration imported from the subject country in all terms including, characteristics such as physical & chemical characteristics, manufacturing process & technology, functions & uses, product specifications, pricing, distribution & marketing and tariff classification. Both products are technically and commercially substitutable and the consumers use them interchangeably. Therefore, for the purpose of the present investigation, the subject goods produced by the domestic industry are being treated as “like article” to the subject goods imported from the subject country.

#### **C. SUBJECT COUNTRY**

10. The subject country in the present investigation is China PR.

#### **D. PERIOD OF INVESTIGATION (POI)**

11. The applicants have proposed 1 st January 2025 to 31 st December 2025 (12 months) as the period of investigation. The injury investigation period shall cover the period 1 st April 2022– 31 st March 2023, 1 st April 2023 – 31 st March 2024, 1 st April 2024 – 31 st March 2025 and the POI.

#### **E. DOMESTIC INDUSTRY AND STANDING**

12. The application has been filed by M/s. Carbon Resources Private Limited. The Applicant has submitted that they have not imported the subject goods from the subject country and are not related to producers and exporters from the subject country. The Applicant has submitted that they are the major domestic producer of the subject goods in India. The other producer, Sanvira Industries Limited has supported this application. Therefore, the Applicant along with the supporter account for a major proportion of the total production of the like article in India during the period of investigation.
13. Authority notes that the applicant *prima facie* satisfies the standing and constitutes domestic industry within the meaning of Rule 2(b) of the ADD Rules, 1995 and the application satisfies the requirements of Rule 5(3) of the AD Rules, 1995.

## F. BASIS OF ALLEGED DUMPING

### a) Normal Value for China

14. The Applicant has claimed that China PR should be treated as a non-market economy and the normal value should be determined in terms of Rule- 7 of Annexure I of the Rules. The Applicant has cited Para 8(2) of Annexure I of the Rules and has stated that the Chinese producers should be directed to demonstrate that market economy conditions prevail in the industry producing the subject goods in terms of Para 8(3) of Annexure I of the Rules. The Applicant has claimed that for China PR, normal value should be determined in accordance with Para 7 and 8 of Annexure I of the Rules.
15. The Applicant has submitted that efforts were made to determine normal value on the basis of price or constructed value in a market economy in a third country. However, the Applicant could not get reliable information regarding the information on price or cost in market economy in a third country. Therefore, Applicant has claimed the normal value based on price payable in India, based on cost of production, along with selling, general and administrative expenses and reasonable profits. Thus, for the purpose of initiation, the normal value for China PR has been determined based on the methodology proposed by the Applicant.

### b) Export Price

16. The export price of the product under consideration has been determined by considering the CIF price of the product under consideration as reported in DG System data. Adjustments have been made for ocean freight, inland freight, insurance, clearing charges, port charges, dealers commission, bank charges and credit cost.

### c) Dumping Margin

17. The normal value and the export price have been compared at ex-factory level, which *prima facie* shows that the dumping margin is above the *de-minimis* level and is significant with respect to the product under consideration exported from the subject country. Thus, there is *prima facie* evidence that the product under consideration from the subject country is being dumped in the Indian market by the exporters from the subject country.

## G. INJURY AND CAUSAL LINK

18. The Applicant has provided *prima facie* evidence with respect to the injury suffered by the domestic industry due to the dumped imports. The volume of the subject imports from the subject country has increased in both absolute as well as relative terms in the POI compared to the previous year. There is evidence of price suppression and depression due to imports. The subject imports have had an adverse impact on the profitability parameters of the domestic industry.
19. From the foregoing, the Authority *prima facie* finds sufficient evidence of dumping of the subject goods originating in or exported from the subject country, injury to the domestic industry and causal link between the alleged dumping and injury exist to justify initiation of an anti-dumping investigation in terms of Rule 5 of the Rules, to determine

the existence, degree, and effect of alleged dumping and to recommend the amount of anti-dumping duty, which if levied, would be adequate to remove injury to the domestic industry.

#### H. INITIATION OF ANTI-DUMPING INVESTIGATION

20. On the basis of the duly substantiated written application submitted by the Applicant and having reached satisfaction based on the *prima facie* evidence submitted by the Applicant concerning the dumping of the product under consideration originating in or exported from the subject country, the consequential injury to the domestic industry as a result of the alleged dumping of the product under consideration and the causal link between such injury and the dumped imports, and in accordance with Section 9A of the Act read with Rule 5 of the AD Rules, the Authority, hereby, initiates an anti-dumping investigation to determine the existence, degree, and effect of the dumping with respect to the product under consideration originating in or exported from the subject country and to recommend the appropriate amount of anti-dumping duty, which if levied, would be adequate to remove the injury to the domestic industry.

#### I. PROCEDURE

21. The provisions stipulated in Rule 6 of the Anti-Dumping Rules shall be followed in this investigation.

#### J. SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION

22. All information, questionnaires, and submissions for this investigation must be filed through the SETU Portal only within the deadlines specified in this notification. The Authority may not consider submissions sent via email or any other method.
23. In order to participate in the investigation, all interested parties are required to register themselves on the SETU Portal (<https://setudgtr.gov.in>). In case of any difficulty in registering as an interested party, the DGTR's SETU Helpdesk may be contacted through the details provided at <https://setu.dgtr.gov.in/help-desk>. All communications and submissions from the interested parties must be filed through the SETU Portal under their registered name and the corresponding **SETU ID AD/OI/032/2026**. Interested parties are required to ensure that the narrative part of the submissions is filed in searchable PDF/MS Word format, while the data files must be submitted in MS Excel format with properly linked calculations.
24. The known producers/ exporters in the subject country, the governments of the subject country through their embassies in India, the importers and users in India known to be concerned with the subject goods are being informed separately to enable them to file all the relevant information in the form and manner prescribed within the time limits set out below. All such information must be filed in the form and manner prescribed by this initiation notification, the Rules, and the applicable trade notices issued by the Authority.
25. Parties interested in the investigation are hereby advised to intimate their interest (including the nature of interest) in the instant investigation and file their questionnaire response/submissions within the time limits mentioned in this initiation notification.

26. Any interested party may make submissions relevant to the present investigation in the form and manner prescribed within the time limits specified in this notification. Any party making any confidential submission before the Authority is required to simultaneously file a non-confidential version of the same. The non-confidential version should be a replica of the confidential version.
27. Interested parties are further directed to regularly visit the official website of the Directorate General of Trade Remedies (<https://www.dgtr.gov.in/>) and SETU portal (<https://setu.dgtr.gov.in>) for any updated information with respect to this investigation. Interested parties are directed to regularly visit the website of DGTR to stay apprised with the further developments in the subject investigation and remain informed regarding notices that may be issued from time to time regarding questionnaire formats, PCN methodology, PCN discussions/meeting schedule, notice for oral hearing, disclosure, corrigendum, amendment notifications, final finding and other such information.

#### **K. Time Limit**

28. The confidential version (CV) and the non-confidential version (NCV) must be uploaded in the respective designated sections of SETU portal within 37 days from the date on which the non-confidential version of the application filed by the domestic industry would be circulated by the Authority or transmitted to the appropriate diplomatic representative of the exporting country as per Rule 6(4) of the Rules, 1995. If no information is received within the prescribed time limit or the information received is incomplete, the Authority may record its findings on the basis of the facts available on record in accordance with the Rules.
29. Any party wishing to register as an interested party in the present investigation must register through the SETU Portal and file their questionnaire responses and submissions strictly within the time limits mentioned above in this Initiation Notification.
30. The 15-day period to file comments on the scope of the PUC / PCN methodology shall run concurrently with the time limited mentioned above in this Initiation Notification.
31. Extension due to modification of PUC / PCN: An extension of time by 15 days shall be granted if the Authority, through subsequent notice, modifies the PUC, and PCN that was not previously proposed or is different from the initiation notification. This extension of 15 days shall be granted from date of such notification of modified PUC and prescription of PCN. Extension of time by 15 days stated in this paragraph is not applicable in instances where there is no change in the PUC, and PCN methodology after initiation of investigation. Requests for a further extension of time, beyond 15 days extension (if granted), will ordinarily not be considered except in case of exceptional circumstances, in line with Rule 6(4) of the Anti-Dumping Rules.
32. Any request for an extension must be submitted by the concerned parties through the SETU portal at least 3 days before the original deadline. Requests submitted after this time will not be considered.

**L. Submission of information on confidential basis**

33. Where any party to the present investigation makes confidential submissions or provides information on a confidential basis before the Authority, it is required to simultaneously submit a non-confidential version of such information in terms of Rule 7(2) of the AD Rules, 1995 and in accordance with the relevant trade notices issued by the Authority in this regard.
34. Such submissions must be clearly marked as "confidential" or "non-confidential" at the top of each page. Any submission which has been made to the Authority without such markings shall be treated as "non-confidential" information by the Authority, and the Authority shall be at liberty to allow other interested parties to inspect such submissions.
35. The non-confidential version of the information filed by the interested parties should essentially be a replica of the confidential version with the confidential information preferably indexed or blanked out (where indexation is not possible) and such information must be appropriately and adequately summarized depending upon the information on which confidentiality is claimed.
36. The confidential version shall contain all information which is by nature confidential and/or other information which the supplier of such information claims as confidential. For information which is claimed to be confidential by the nature or the information on which confidentiality is claimed because of other reasons, the supplier of the information is required to provide a good cause statement along with the supplied information as to why such information cannot be disclosed.
37. The Authority may accept or reject the request for confidentiality on examination of the nature of the information submitted. If the Authority is satisfied that the request for confidentiality is not warranted or if the supplier of the information is either unwilling to make the information public or to authorize its disclosure in generalized or summary form, it may disregard such information.
38. The non-confidential summary must be in sufficient detail to permit a reasonable understanding of the substance of the information furnished on confidential basis. However, in exceptional circumstances, the party submitting the confidential information may indicate that such information is not susceptible to summary, and a statement of reasons containing a sufficient and adequate explanation in terms of Rule 7 of the AD Rules, 1995 and appropriate trade notices issued by the Authority, as to why such summarization is not possible, must be provided to the satisfaction of the Authority.
39. The interested parties can offer their comments on the issues of confidentiality claimed by the domestic industry within 7 days of the receipt of the non-confidential version of the application.
40. Any submission made without a meaningful non-confidential version thereof or without a sufficient and adequate cause statement in terms of Rule 7 of the AD Rules, 1995 and appropriate trade notices issued by the Authority, the confidentiality claim shall not be taken on record by the Authority.

**M. Inspection of Public File**

41. All non-confidential versions of the submissions made by any interested party will be accessible to other interested parties through their respective login on the SETU Portal.

**N. Non-cooperation**

42. In case any interested party refuses access to, or otherwise does not provide, necessary information within a reasonable period or within the time stipulated by the Authority in this initiation notification, or significantly impedes the investigation, the Authority may declare such party as non-cooperative and record its findings on the basis of the facts available on record and make such recommendations to the Central Government as it deems fit.



**(Amitabh Kumar)**  
**Designated Authority**