

To be published in Part-I Section I of the Gazette of India Extraordinary

F. No. 6/20/2026-DGTR
Government of India
Ministry of Commerce & Industry
Directorate General of Trade Remedies (DGTR)
4th Floor, Jeevan Tara Building, 5, Parliament Street, New Delhi- 110001

Dated: 30th June, 2026

INITIATION NOTIFICATION
SETU Case ID- AD/OI/020/2026

Subject: Anti-dumping investigation concerning imports of “Electric Tractors in 6x4 and 4x2 axle configuration in any form” originating in or exported from China PR.

1. **F. No. 6/20/2026-DGTR:** Having regard to the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 as amended from time to time (hereinafter referred to as the “Act”) and the Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Anti-dumping Duty on Dumped Articles and for Determination of Injury) Rules, 1995 as amended from time to time (hereinafter referred to as the “Rules” or the “Anti-dumping Rules”), M/s. IPLTech Electric Private Limited (hereinafter also referred to as the “Applicant”) has filed an application before the Designated Authority (hereinafter referred to as the “Authority”) for initiation of an anti-dumping investigation concerning imports of “Electric Tractors in 6x4 and 4x2 axle configuration in any form” (hereinafter referred to as the “subject goods” or “product under consideration” or “PUC”), originating in or exported from China PR (hereinafter referred to as the “subject country”).
2. The Applicant has alleged that dumped imports of the subject goods from the subject country are causing material injury to the domestic industry and has requested the imposition of anti-dumping duty on imports of the subject goods from the subject country.

A. PRODUCT UNDER CONSIDERATION (PUC)

3. The product under consideration in the present application is “Electric Tractors in 6x4 and 4x2 axle configuration in any form”. The scope of the product under consideration covers imports of the PUC in all forms, including, inter alia, completely built-up units (CBUs), completely knocked down units (CKDs) and semi-knocked down units (SKDs).

However, the scope of the PUC does not cover parts of the PUC imported on a standalone basis.

4. The PUC is intended for use in logistics and goods transportation operations. These vehicles are designed and deployed for lawful carriage of goods and materials for industrial, commercial and distribution purposes, including transportation of cement, steel, fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG), packaged commodities, construction materials and allied cargo.
5. The PUC is primarily operated by fleet operators, logistics service providers, manufacturers, distributors or their authorised contractors for point-to-point movement of goods between manufacturing facilities, warehouses, distribution centres, ports, terminals and customer delivery locations, in accordance with applicable motor vehicle laws, transport regulations and safety standards.
6. The product under consideration is classified under Section XVII and Chapter 87 of the First Schedule to the Customs Tariff Act, 1975. During the injury investigation period and the POI, the PUC has been imported into India under HS Codes 87012400 and 87049012. However, the product may also be imported under other tariff headings and, therefore, the customs classification is indicative only and not binding on the scope of the product under consideration.
7. The Applicant has proposed the following product control number (PCN) methodology:

Sl. No.	Parameters	Value	PCN
1.	Axle Configuration	6x4	A
		4x2	B
2.	Power Transmission	E-axle	P
		Gear Box	Q
3.	Battery Capacity	282 V	1
		302V	2
		376 V	3

Specimen Code:

AP1: It shows the axle configuration of 6x4 along with power transmission based on E-axle and battery capacity of 282 V.

BQ3: It shows the axle configuration of 4x2 along with power transmission of gear box and battery capacity of 376 V.

8. The parties to the present investigation may provide their comments on the scope of the PUC and the proposed PCN methodology within 15 days of receipt of intimation of initiation of the investigation.

B. LIKE ARTICLE

9. There is no known difference between the subject goods produced by the domestic industry and the product under consideration imported from the subject country. The subject goods produced by the domestic industry are comparable to the product under consideration imported from the subject country in all terms, including physical characteristics, manufacturing process and technology, functions and uses, product specifications, pricing, distribution and marketing, and tariff classification. Both products are technically and commercially substitutable and the consumers use them interchangeably. Therefore, for the purpose of the present investigation, the subject goods produced by the Applicant are being treated as “like article” to the subject goods imported from the subject country.

C. SUBJECT COUNTRY

10. The subject country in the present investigation is China PR.

D. PERIOD OF INVESTIGATION (POI)

11. The Applicant has proposed 1st January 2025 to 31st December 2025 (12 months) as the period of investigation. The Authority has considered the same as the POI. The injury investigation period shall cover the periods 1st April 2023 – 31st March 2024, 1st April 2024 – 31st March 2025 and the POI.

E. DOMESTIC INDUSTRY AND STANDING

12. The application has been filed by M/s. IPLTech Electric Private Limited. The Applicant has submitted that it has not imported the subject goods from the subject country and is not related to any producer or exporter of the subject goods from the subject country or any importer in India. The Applicant has submitted that it is the major domestic producer of the subject goods in India. As per the data available on record, the Applicant accounts for a major proportion of the total Indian production of the like article during the period of investigation.
13. The Authority notes that the Applicant satisfies the standing requirement and constitutes domestic industry within the meaning of Rule 2(b) of the Anti-dumping Rules, 1995 and the application satisfies the requirements of Rule 5(3) of the Rules.

F. BASIS OF ALLEGED DUMPING

a. Normal Value for China PR

14. The Applicant has claimed that China PR should be treated as a non-market economy and the normal value should be determined in terms of Paragraph 7 of Annexure I to the Rules. The Applicant has cited Article 15(a)(i) of China's Accession Protocol and has submitted that the Chinese producers should be directed to demonstrate that market economy conditions prevail in the industry producing the subject goods with regard to production and sale of the product under consideration. Unless the Chinese producers demonstrate that market economy conditions prevail, their normal value should be determined in accordance with Paragraph 7 and 8 of Annexure I to the Rules.
15. The Applicant has submitted that efforts were made to determine normal value on the basis of price lists, commercial or sales invoices, trade journals and other publicly available price information. However, reliable information regarding prices or cost in China PR or a market economy third country could not be obtained. The Applicant has further submitted that the product under consideration does not have a dedicated HS code and is imported and exported under various HS codes, which also cover products other than the product under consideration. Therefore, prices under such HS codes would not provide a reliable basis for determination of normal value. The Applicant has, accordingly, claimed normal value on the basis of cost of production of the domestic industry, along with selling, general and administrative expenses and reasonable profit. There is enough evidence for the normal value claimed by the applicant.

b. Export Price

16. The export price of the product under consideration has been determined by considering the CIF price of the product under consideration as reported in DG Systems data. Adjustments have been made for ocean freight, inland freight, insurance, clearing charges, port charges, dealer's commission, bank charges and credit cost.

c. Dumping Margin

17. The normal value and the export price have been compared at the ex-factory level, which prima facie shows that the dumping margin is above the de minimis level and is significant with respect to the product under consideration exported from the subject country. Thus, there is prima facie evidence that the product under consideration from the subject country is being dumped in the Indian market by exporters from the subject country.

G. INJURY AND CAUSAL LINK

18. The Applicant has provided prima facie evidence with respect to the injury suffered by the domestic industry due to the dumped imports. The volume of subject imports from the subject country has increased in both absolute as well as relative terms over the injury period. There is evidence of price suppression due to subject imports. The subject imports have had an adverse impact on the operating performance of the domestic industry.
19. From the foregoing, the Authority prima facie finds sufficient evidence of dumping of the subject goods originating in or exported from the subject country, injury to the domestic industry and causal link between the alleged dumping and injury exist to justify initiation of an anti-dumping investigation in terms of Rule 5 of the Rules, to determine the existence, degree, and effect of alleged dumping and to recommend the amount of anti-dumping duty, which if levied, would be adequate to remove injury to the domestic industry.

H. INITIATION OF ANTI-DUMPING INVESTIGATION

20. On the basis of the duly substantiated written application submitted by the Applicant and having reached satisfaction based on the prima facie evidence submitted by the Applicant concerning dumping of the product under consideration originating in or exported from the subject country, consequential injury to the domestic industry as a result of the alleged dumping of the product under consideration and the causal link between such injury and dumped imports, and in accordance with Section 9A of the Act read with Rule 5 of the Rules, the Authority hereby initiates an anti-dumping investigation to determine the existence, degree and effect of alleged dumping with respect to the product under consideration originating in or exported from the subject country and to recommend the appropriate amount of anti-dumping duty, which if levied, would be adequate to remove the injury to the domestic industry.

I. PROCEDURE

21. The provisions stipulated in Rule 6 of the Anti-dumping Rules shall be followed in this investigation.

J. SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION

22. All information, questionnaires and submissions for this investigation must be filed through the SETU Portal only within the deadlines specified in this notification. The Authority may not consider submissions sent through email or any other mode.

23. In order to participate in the investigation, all interested parties are required to register themselves on the SETU Portal (<https://setudgtr.gov.in>). In case of any difficulty in registering as an interested party, the DGTR SETU Helpdesk may be contacted through the details provided at <https://setu.dgtr.gov.in/help-desk>. All communications and submissions from the interested parties must be filed through the SETU Portal under their registered name and the corresponding SETU ID AD/OI/020/2026. Interested parties are required to ensure that the narrative part of their submissions is filed in searchable PDF/MS Word format, while data files must be submitted in MS Excel format with properly linked calculations.
24. The known producers/exporters in the subject country, the Government of the subject country through its Embassy in India, and the importers and users in India known to be concerned with the subject goods are being informed separately to enable them to file all relevant information in the form and manner prescribed within the time limits set out below. All such information must be filed in the form and manner prescribed by this initiation notification, the Rules and the applicable trade notices issued by the Authority.
25. Parties interested in the investigation are hereby advised to intimate their interest, including the nature of interest, in the present investigation and file their questionnaire responses/submissions within the time limits mentioned in this initiation notification.
26. Any interested party may make submissions relevant to the present investigation in the form and manner prescribed within the time limits specified in this notification. Any party making any confidential submission before the Authority is required to simultaneously file a non-confidential version of the same. The non-confidential version should be a replica of the confidential version.
27. Interested parties are further directed to regularly visit the official website of the Directorate General of Trade Remedies (<https://www.dgtr.gov.in/>) and the SETU Portal (<https://setu.dgtr.gov.in>) for updated information with respect to this investigation. Interested parties are also directed to remain informed regarding notices that may be issued from time to time concerning questionnaire formats, PCN methodology, PCN discussions/meeting schedule, notice for oral hearing, disclosure, corrigendum, amendment notifications, final findings and other such information.

K. TIME LIMIT

28. The confidential version (CV) and non-confidential version (NCV) must be uploaded in the respective designated sections of the SETU Portal within 37 days from the date on which the non-confidential version of the application filed by the domestic industry is

circulated by the Authority or transmitted to the appropriate diplomatic representative of the exporting country as per Rule 6(4) of the Rules, 1995. If no information is received within the prescribed time limit or the information received is incomplete, the Authority may record its findings on the basis of facts available on record in accordance with the Rules.

29. Any party wishing to register as an interested party in the present investigation must register through the SETU Portal and file its questionnaire response and submissions strictly within the time limits mentioned above in this initiation notification.
30. The 15-day period to file comments on the scope of the PUC/PCN methodology shall run concurrently with the time limit mentioned above in this initiation notification.
31. Extension due to modification of PUC/PCN: An extension of time by 15 days shall be granted if the Authority, through a subsequent notice, modifies the PUC or PCN methodology in a manner not previously proposed or different from the initiation notification. This extension shall be available from the date of notification of the modified PUC and prescription of PCN. The extension is not applicable where there is no change in the PUC or PCN methodology after initiation of the investigation. Requests for further extension beyond 15 days, if granted, will ordinarily not be considered except in exceptional circumstances, in line with Rule 6(4) of the Anti-dumping Rules.
32. Any request for extension must be submitted by the concerned party through the SETU Portal at least three days before the original deadline. Requests submitted after this time will not be considered.

L. SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION ON CONFIDENTIAL BASIS

33. Where any party to the present investigation makes confidential submissions or provides information on a confidential basis before the Authority, it is required to simultaneously submit a non-confidential version of such information in terms of Rule 7(2) of the Anti-dumping Rules, 1995 and in accordance with the relevant trade notices issued by the Authority in this regard.
34. Such submissions must be clearly marked as "confidential" or "non-confidential" at the top of each page. Any submission made to the Authority without such markings shall be treated as non-confidential information by the Authority, and the Authority shall be at liberty to allow other interested parties to inspect such submissions.
35. The non-confidential version of the information filed by the interested parties should essentially be a replica of the confidential version, with the confidential information

preferably indexed or blanked out where indexation is not possible. Such information must be appropriately and adequately summarised depending upon the information on which confidentiality is claimed.

36. The confidential version shall contain all information which is by nature confidential and/or other information which the supplier of such information claims as confidential. For information claimed to be confidential by nature or for any other reason, the supplier is required to provide a good cause statement along with the supplied information explaining why such information cannot be disclosed.
37. The Authority may accept or reject the request for confidentiality on examination of the nature of the information submitted. If the Authority is satisfied that confidentiality is not warranted or if the supplier of the information is unwilling to make the information public or authorise disclosure in generalised or summary form, the Authority may disregard such information.
38. The non-confidential summary must be in sufficient detail to permit a reasonable understanding of the substance of the information furnished on confidential basis. However, in exceptional circumstances, the party submitting confidential information may indicate that such information is not susceptible to summary and must provide a statement of reasons containing sufficient and adequate explanation in terms of Rule 7 of the Anti-dumping Rules, 1995 and the appropriate trade notices issued by the Authority.
39. The interested parties may offer their comments on the issues of confidentiality claimed by the domestic industry within seven days of receipt of the non-confidential version of the application.
40. Any submission made without a meaningful non-confidential version thereof or without a sufficient and adequate cause statement in terms of Rule 7 of the Anti-dumping Rules, 1995 and the appropriate trade notices issued by the Authority shall not be taken on record by the Authority.

M. INSPECTION OF PUBLIC FILE

41. All non-confidential versions of the submissions made by any interested party will be accessible to other interested parties through their respective login on the SETU Portal.

N. NON-COOPERATION

42. In case any interested party refuses access to, or otherwise does not provide, necessary information within a reasonable period or within the time stipulated by the Authority in this initiation notification, or significantly impedes the investigation, the Authority may declare such party as non-cooperative and record its findings on the basis of facts available on record and make such recommendations to the Central Government as it deems fit.



(Amitabh Kumar)
Designated Authority