

To be published in Part-I Section I of the Gazette of India Extraordinary

**F. No. 6/07/2026-DGTR
Government of India
Ministry of Commerce & Industry
Department of Commerce
Directorate General of Trade Remedies
4th Floor, Jeevan Tara Building,
5 Parliament Street, New Delhi- 110001**

Dated: 20 March 2026

**INITIATION NOTIFICATION
(Case No. AD(OI) -07 /2026)**

Subject: Initiation of anti-dumping investigation concerning imports of "Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)" originating in or exported from China PR and Russia.

1. **F. No. 6/07/2026-DGTR:** Having regard to the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 as amended from time to time (hereinafter referred to as the "Act") and the Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Anti-dumping duty on Dumped Articles for Determination of Injury) Rules, 1995 as amended from time to time (hereinafter referred to as the "Rules" or the "Anti-dumping Rules"), M/s. Gujarat Fluorochemicals Limited (hereinafter also referred to as the "Applicant") has filed an application before the Designated Authority (hereinafter referred to as the "Authority"), for initiation of an anti-dumping investigation concerning imports of "Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)" (hereinafter referred to as "subject goods" or "product under consideration" or "PUC" or "PTFE"), originating in or exported from China PR and Russia (hereinafter referred to as "subject countries").
2. The applicant has alleged that dumped imports of the subject goods from China PR and Russia are causing material injury to the domestic industry and has requested for imposition of anti-dumping duty on imports of the subject goods from the subject countries.

A. PRODUCT UNDER CONSIDERATION

3. The product under consideration in the present investigation is Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) resin. The scope of product under consideration includes PTFE in resin form. PTFE is primarily used in the electrical, electronic, mechanical, and chemical industries for its unique characteristics such as chemical inertness, electrical and thermal insulation, low coefficient of friction, nontoxic, non-flammable, resistance to radiation, low level of static and dynamic friction, and outstanding electrical properties over a wide frequency range.

4. The product under consideration is classified under Chapter 39 of the Customs Tariff Act under the sub-heading 39046100. The customs classification is indicative only and not binding on the scope of the product under consideration.
5. The applicant has proposed the following product control numbers (PCNs), which were considered in the last investigation concerning the product under consideration from China PR and Russia, vide Case No. AD (OI)-19/2024 for which final findings were issued on 19th September 2025.

SN	Parameter	Base	Full Name
i.	A-PTFE	A-PTFE	Aqueous Dispersion PTFE
ii.	C-PTFE	C-PTFE	Filled Compound PTFE
iii.	D-PTFE	D-PTFE	Fine Powder PTFE
iv.	PTFE	PTFE	Granular PTFE (Suspension Grade)
v.	PT-PTFE	PT-PTFE	Granular Modified PTFE (Molding/Low Flow Virgin/Free Flow Virgin Grades)

6. The interested parties in the subject investigation may provide their comments on the scope of PUC/PCN methodology, if any, within 15 days of date of initiation of this investigation.

B. LIKE ARTICLE

7. The applicant has stated that there is no difference in the article produced by the applicant and exported from the subject countries. The article produced by the applicant and that imported from China PR and Russia are comparable in terms of physical and chemical characteristics, manufacturing process and technology, functions and uses, product specifications, pricing, distribution and marketing, and tariff classification of the subject goods. The subject goods and the article manufactured by the applicant are technically and commercially substitutable. Thus, for the purposes of initiation of the present investigation, the subject goods produced by the domestic industry are being treated as "like article" to the product under consideration produced and imported from China PR and Russia.

C. DOMESTIC INDUSTRY AND STANDING

8. The application has been filed by Gujarat Fluorochemicals Ltd. The applicant has submitted that there is only one other producer of the subject goods in India, SRF Ltd., which started production during the injury period and has supported the application. The applicant has also submitted that there used to be another producer of the product under consideration, Hindustan Fluorocarbons Ltd., which had limited capacity to manufacture the PUC, however stopped producing the subject goods. The applicant has certified that it has not imported the subject goods from the subject countries nor is it related to any exporter or importer of subject goods.
9. Based on the information provided, it is seen that the production by the applicant constitutes

"a major proportion" of total Indian production. Thus, the applicant constitutes domestic industry within the meaning of Rule 2(b) of the Rules, and the application satisfies the requirements of standing under Rule 5(3) of the Rules.

D. SUBJECT COUNTRIES

10. The subject countries for the present investigation are China PR and Russia.

E. PERIOD OF INVESTIGATION

11. The applicant has proposed the period October 2024 – September 2025 (12 months) as the period of investigation (POI). The Authority has considered the period proposed by the applicant for the purpose of the present investigation. The injury period for the investigation will cover the period 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25 and the period of investigation.

F. BASIS OF ALLEGED DUMPING

a) Normal Value for China PR

12. The applicant has cited and relied upon Article 15(a) (i) of China's Accession Protocol and has claimed that China PR should be treated as a non-market economy and that producers from China PR should be directed to demonstrate that market economy conditions prevail in the industry with regard to the production and sales of the product under consideration. Unless the producers from China PR show that such market economy conditions prevail, their normal value should be determined in accordance with Para 7 and 8 of Annexure-I to the Anti-Dumping Rules, 1995.

13. The applicant has submitted that data relating to cost and price in market economy third country is not available. The applicant has considered the imports into India from the EU for the purpose of determining normal value. The claim of the Applicant may further be examined during the course of the investigation after receiving comments from all interested parties. For the purpose of initiation, the normal value has been determined based on the price paid or payable in India with reasonable adjustments for selling and administrative expenses and profit in India. The interested parties are advised to offer their comments and make duly substantiated claims with regard to methodology to be adopted for determination of normal value.

b) Normal Value for Russia

14. The applicant has claimed that they were unable to obtain any evidence of the price of the product in the domestic market of Russia or from any published sources. The applicant has considered the comparable representative price of the like article when exported from the exporting country or territory or to an appropriate third country. Accordingly, the applicant considered Russia's exports to Brazil for determining the normal value. The submissions by the Applicant may further be examined during the course of the investigation after receiving

comments from all the interested parties. For the purpose of initiation, the normal value has been determined for Russia considering based on estimated cost of production duly adjusted for selling and administrative expenses and profits.

c) Export Price

15. The export price of the product under consideration has been determined by considering the CIF price of the product under consideration as reported in DG Systems data. Adjustments have been claimed for ocean freight, marine insurance, commission, bank charges, port expenses, inland freight, secondary packing, credit cost, and inventory carrying cost.

d) Dumping Margin

16. The normal value and the export price have been compared at ex-factory level, which shows that the dumping margin is above the de-minimis level and is significant with respect to the product under consideration exported from the subject countries. This *prima facie* establishes that the product from the subject countries is being dumped in the domestic market of India by the exporters from the subject countries.

G. INJURY AND CAUSAL LINK

17. Information furnished by the applicant has been considered for assessment of injury to the domestic industry on account of dumped imports of the subject goods from the subject countries. The volume of subject goods from subject countries has increased over the injury period in absolute as well as relative terms with some decline in the POI. Imports are undercutting the prices of the domestic industry and are having depressing effect on the prices of the domestic industry. The applicant claimed that due to presence of subject goods, their performance has deteriorated in respect of production, sales, profitability, ROI, cash profits. The applicant is facing financial losses, cash losses and negative return in investment in POI. The growth of domestic industry has been adversely impacted in terms of volume and price parameters.
18. The information provided by the applicant, *prima facie*, shows injury being caused to the domestic industry due to dumped imports from the subject countries.

H. INITIATION OF THE ANTI-DUMPING INVESTIGATION

19. On the basis of the application filed by the applicant in the form and manner prescribed, on the basis of the *prima facie* evidence submitted by the applicant, substantiating dumping of the product under consideration originating in or exported from the subject countries, injury to the domestic industry and causal link between such alleged dumping and injury, and in accordance with Section 9A of the Act read with Rule 5 of the AD Rules, the Authority, hereby, initiates an antidumping investigation to determine the existence, degree and effect of any alleged dumping in respect of the subject goods originating in or exported from the subject countries

and to recommend the amount of anti-dumping duty, which if levied, would be adequate to remove the injury to the domestic industry.

I. PROCEDURE

20. Principles, as given in Rule 6 of the AD Rules, shall be followed for the present investigation.

J. SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION

21. All the interested parties are required to register themselves on SETU Portal (<https://setu.dgtr.gov.in>). All communications and submissions from the interested parties shall be uploaded on the SETU portal under their registered name and corresponding case ID-AD/OI/008/2026. It should be ensured that the narrative part of the submission is in searchable PDF/MS-Word format and data files are in MS-Excel format.
22. The known producers/exporters in subject countries, the government of subject countries through its embassies in India, and the importers and users in India known to be concerned with the subject goods are being informed separately to enable them to file all the relevant information in the form and manner prescribed within the time limits set out below.
23. Any other interested party may also make submissions relevant to the present investigation in the form and manner prescribed within the time limits set out below. Any party making any confidential submission before the Authority is required to make a non-confidential version of the same available to the other interested parties.
24. The interested parties are further advised to keep a regular watch on the official website of the Directorate General of Trade Remedies at www.dgtr.gov.in and SETU portal (<https://setu.dgtr.gov.in>) for any updated information with respect to this investigation. Interested parties are directed to regularly visit the website of the DGTR (<https://www.dgtr.gov.in/>) to stay apprised with the further developments in the subject investigation and remain informed regarding notices that may be issued from time to time regarding questionnaire formats, PCN methodology, PCN discussion/meeting schedule, notice of oral hearing, corrigendum, amendment notifications, and other such information

K. TIME LIMIT

25. Any information relating to the present investigation must be uploaded by the interested parties on the SETU portal (<https://setu.dgtr.gov.in>) under their registered name and corresponding case ID- AD/OI/008/2026. Both versions of each submission, the confidential version (CV) and the non-confidential version (NCV) must be uploaded in the respective designated columns within 37 days from the date on which the non-confidential version of the application filed by the domestic industry as per Rule 6(4) of the AD Rules, 1995. If no information is received within the stipulated time limit or the information received is incomplete, the Authority may record its findings based on the facts available on record and in accordance with the AD Rules,

1995.

26. All the interested parties are hereby advised to intimate their interest (including the nature of interest) in the instant matter and file their questionnaire responses within the above time limit as stipulated in this notification through SETU portal only.
27. The 15-day period to file comments on the scope of the PUC/ PCN Methodology shall run concurrently with the time limit mentioned in this Initiation Notification.
28. Extension due to Modification of PUC/PCN: An extension of time by 15 days shall be granted if the Authority, through a subsequent notice, modifies the PUC, and PCN that was not previously proposed or is different from the initiation notification. This extension of 15 days shall be granted from date of such notification of modified PUC and PCN. Extension of time by 15 days stated in this paragraph is not applicable in instances where there is no change in the PUC, and PCN methodology, after initiation of investigation. Requests for a further extension of time, beyond the 15-day extension (if granted), will ordinarily not be considered except in case of exceptional circumstances, in line with the Rule 6(4) of the AD Rules.
29. Any request for an extension must be submitted by the concerned parties through the SETU portal at least one day before the original deadline. Requests submitted after this time will not be considered.

L. SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION ON A CONFIDENTIAL BASIS

30. Where any party to the present investigation makes confidential submissions or provides information on a confidential basis before the Authority, such party is required to simultaneously submit a non-confidential version of such information in terms of Rule 7(2) of the Rules and in accordance with the relevant trade notices issued by the Authority in this regard. Failure to adhere to the above may lead to rejection of the response/submissions.
31. The parties making any submission (including Appendices/Annexes attached thereto), before the Authority including questionnaire response, are required to file confidential and non-confidential versions separately.
32. Such submissions must be clearly marked as "confidential" or "non-confidential" at the top of each page. Any submission made without such marking shall be treated as 'non-confidential' information by the Authority, and the Authority shall be at liberty to allow the other interested parties to inspect such submissions.
33. The confidential version shall contain all information that is by nature confidential and/or other information which the supplier of such information claims as confidential. For information that is claimed to be confidential by nature, or the information on which confidentiality is claimed because of other reasons, the supplier of the information is required to provide a good cause statement along with the supplied information as to why such information cannot be disclosed.

34. The non-confidential version of the information filed by the interested parties should be a replica of the confidential version with the confidential information preferably indexed or blanked out (where indexation is not possible) and such information must be appropriately and adequately summarized depending upon the information on which confidentiality is claimed.
35. The non-confidential summary must be in sufficient detail to permit a reasonable understanding of the substance of the information furnished on a confidential basis. However, in exceptional circumstances, the party submitting the confidential information may indicate that such information is not susceptible to summary, and a statement of reasons containing a sufficient and adequate explanation as to why such summarization is not possible, must be provided to the satisfaction of the Authority.
36. The interested parties can offer their comments on the issues of confidentiality within 7 days from the date of submission of the non-confidential version of the documents by other interested parties.
37. The Authority may accept or reject the request for confidentiality on examination of the nature of the information submitted. If the Authority is satisfied that the request for confidentiality is not warranted or if the supplier of the information is either unwilling to make the information public or to authorize its disclosure in generalized or summary form, it may disregard such information.
38. Any submission made without a meaningful non-confidential version thereof or a sufficient and adequate cause statement in terms of Rule 7 of the Rules, and appropriate trade notices issued by the Authority, on the confidentiality claim shall not be taken on record by the Authority.

M. INSPECTION OF PUBLIC FILE

39. All non-confidential versions of submissions made by any interested party will be accessible to other interested parties through their respective login on the SETU portal.

N. NON-COOPERATION

40. In case any interested party refuses access to, or otherwise does not provide necessary information within a reasonable period or within the time stipulated by the Authority in this initiation notification, or significantly impedes the investigation, the Authority may declare such interested party as non-cooperative and record its findings based on the facts available and make such recommendations to the Central Government as it deems fit.



Amitabh Kumar
Designated Authority