

**To be published in Part-I Section I of the Gazette of India Extraordinary
Government of India
Department of Commerce
Ministry of Commerce & Industry
(Directorate General of Anti-Dumping & Allied Duties)
4th Floor, Jeevan Tara Building
5 Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110001**

Dated: the 7th July 2015

**INITIATION NOTIFICATION
(Sunset Review)**

**Initiation of Sunset Review of Anti-dumping Duty imposed on imports of
Glass Fibre and Articles thereof, originating in or exported from
China PR.**

- F. No. 15/10/2015-DGAD** - Having regard to the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 as amended in 1995 and thereafter (hereinafter also referred as the Act) and the Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Anti-dumping Duty on Dumped Articles and for Determination of Injury) Rules, 1995, as amended from time to time (hereinafter also referred as the Rules), the Designated Authority (hereinafter also referred to as the Authority) recommended imposition of anti-dumping duty on imports of “Glass Fibre and Articles thereof ” (hereinafter also referred to as the subject goods), originating in or exported from China PR (hereinafter referred to as the subject country).
2. Whereas, the original investigation concerning imports of the subject goods from the subject country was initiated by the Authority vide Notification No. 14/28/2009- DGAD dated 2nd June, 2010 and preliminary finding was published by the Authority vide Notification No. 14/28/2009-DGAD dated 8th January, 2010. Provisional antidumping duty was imposed by the Central Government vide Notification No. 75/2010- Customs dated 14th July, 2010. The final finding was published by the Authority vide Notification No. 14/28/2009-DGAD dated 6th January 2011 and definitive anti-dumping duty was imposed by the Central Government vide Notification No. 30/2011-Customs dated 4th March, 2011. The Authority initiated a midterm review investigation at the behest of an importer namely, M/s Raman Fibre Science Private Limited, Bangalore vide Notification dated 19th September, 2013. The Authority modified the scope of the product under consideration vide notification No. 14/21/2013-DGAD dated 10th February 2014.

3. Whereas, M/s. Owens-Corning (India) Pvt. Ltd. and Owen Corning Industries (India) Pvt. Ltd. have filed a duly substantiated application before the Authority, on behalf of the producers of the subject goods in India, in accordance with the Act and the Rules, alleging likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping of the subject goods, originating in or exported from China PR and consequent injury to the domestic industry and have requested for review, continuation and enhancement of the anti-dumping duties, imposed on the imports of the subject goods, originating in or exported from the subject country. M/s. Owens-Corning (India) Pvt. Ltd. and Owen Corning Industries (India) Pvt. Ltd. have provided required information as domestic industry.

Country involved

4. The country involved in this investigation is China PR.

Product under consideration and Like Article

5. The product under consideration in the SSR present investigation is “Glass fibre, including (a) glass roving (assembled rovings (AR), direct rovings (DR)), (b) glass chopped strands (CS), and (c) glass chopped strands mats (CSM). The subject goods are classified under chapter 70 of the Customs Tariff Act at subheading no. 7019. The customs classification is however, for reference purpose only and will have no binding on the scope of the present investigation.
6. The Authority has noted as follows in the final findings of the original investigation,

“The product under consideration is glass fibre, including glass roving (assembled rovings(AR), direct rovings (DR), glass chopped strands(CS), glass chopped strands mats(CSM). Specifically excluded from the scope of the product under consideration are glass wool, fibre glass wool, fibre glass insulation in wool form, glass yarn, glass woven fabrics, glass fibre fabric, glass woven rovings and chopped strands meant for thermoplastic applications, micro glass fibre used in battery separator, surface mat/surface veil/tissue.”

7. Further, Mid Term Review investigation was initiated at the behest of an importer, M/s Raman Fibre Science Private Limited. The Authority modified the scope of product under consideration as follows:

“Glass fibre, including glass roving (assembled rovings (AR), direct rovings (DR)), glass chopped strands (CS), glass chopped strands mats (CSM).

Specifically excluded from the scope of the product under consideration are glass wool, fibre glass wool, fibre glass insulation in wool form, glass yarn, glass woven fabrics, glass fibre fabric, glass woven rovings and chopped strands meant for thermoplastic applications, micro glass fibre with fibre diameter in the range of 0.3 to 2.5 microns, surface mat/surface veil/tissue.”

8. In the present SSR investigation the scope of PUC is as follows:

“Glass fibre, including glass roving (assembled rovings (AR), direct rovings (DR)), glass chopped strands (CS), glass chopped strands mats (CSM). Specifically excluded from the scope of the product under consideration are glass wool, fibre glass wool, fibre glass insulation in wool form, glass yarn, glass woven fabrics, glass fibre fabric, glass woven rovings and chopped strands meant for thermoplastic applications, micro glass fibre with fibre diameter in the range of 0.3 to 2.5 microns, surface mat/surface veil/tissue, Cemfil.”

Like Article

9. Rule 2(d) with regard to like article provides as under: -

"like article" means an article which is identical or alike in all respects to the article under investigation for being dumped in India or in the absence of such article, another article which although not alike in all respects, has characteristics closely resembling those of the articles under investigation;

10. Applicants have claimed that there is no known difference in Glass Fibre and Articles thereof produced by them and exported from the subject country. Glass Fibre and Articles thereof produced by the applicant and imported from the subject country are having comparable characteristics in terms of parameters such as physical & chemical characteristics, manufacturing process & technology, functions & uses, product specifications, pricing, distribution & marketing and tariff classification of the goods. The application filed is for the review, continuation and enhancement of the quantum of the anti-dumping duty in force, and the issue of like article has been already dealt with in the previous investigations. In the earlier investigations the Authority has already held that the subject goods produced by the domestic industry is like article to the same imported from the subject country.

Domestic Industry & Standing

11. M/s. Owens-Corning (India) Pvt. Ltd. and Owen Corning Industries (India) Pvt. Ltd. have provided required information as domestic industry. As per the information available, the applicant accounts for a major proportion in Indian production of the subject goods and satisfy the requirement of standing to file the present petition. As submitted by the applicants, none of the applicant companies have imported the subject goods from the subject country. It has been further submitted that the applicants have related company in China, but on the basis of information furnished by the applicants, the Authority notes that no exports have been made by the related Chinese exporters during the POI. Therefore, the Authority has considered the applicants as constituting domestic industry within the meaning of the Rule 2(b) of the Rules and the application satisfies the criteria of standing in terms of Rule 5 of the Rules supra.

Initiation of Sunset Review

12. WHEREAS, in view of the duly substantiated application filed and in accordance with Section 9 A (5) of the Act, read with Rule 23 of the Anti-dumping Rules, the Authority hereby initiates a Sunset review investigation to review the need for continued imposition of the duties in force in respect of the subject goods, originating in or exported from the subject country and to examine whether the expiry of such duty is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and injury to the domestic industry.

Period of Investigation

13. The period of investigation (POI) is April 2014 – March 2015 (12 months) for the purpose of present investigation. The injury investigation period will however cover the periods April 2011 - March 2012, April 2012-March 2013, April 2013-March 2014 and the POI. The data beyond POI may also be examined to determine the likelihood of dumping and injury.

Procedure

14. The present sunset review covers all aspects of the final findings of the original investigation published vide Notification No. 14/28/2009-DGAD dated 6th January 2011 (final findings of the original investigation).

15. The provisions of Rules 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 of the Rules supra shall be mutatis mutandis applicable in this review.

Submission of Information

16. The known exporters in the subject country, the Government of the subject country through its embassy in India, the importers and users in India known to be concerned with the product are being addressed separately to submit relevant information in the form and manner prescribed and to make their views known to the Authority at the following address:

**Government of India
Ministry of Commerce and Industry
Department of Commerce
Directorate General of Anti-Dumping and Allied Duties
4th Floor, Jeevan Tara Building
5, Parliament Street, New Delhi – 110001**

17. Any other interested party may also make its submissions relevant to the investigation in the prescribed form and manner within the time limit set out below. Any party making any confidential submission before the Authority is required to submit a non-confidential version of the same to be made available to the other parties.

Time Limit

18. Any information relating to the present review and any request for hearing should be sent in writing so as to reach the Authority at the address mentioned above not later than forty days (40 Days) from the date of publication of this Notification. If no information is received within the prescribed time limit or the information received is incomplete, the Authority may record its findings on the basis of the facts available on record in accordance with the Anti-dumping Rules.
19. All the interested parties are hereby advised to intimate their interest (including the nature of interest) in the instant matter and file their questionnaire responses and offer their comments to the domestic industry's application regarding the need to continue or otherwise the Anti-dumping measures within 40 days from the date of initiation of this investigation.

Submission of information on confidential basis

20. In case confidentiality is claimed on any part of the questionnaire response/ submissions, the same must be submitted in two separate sets (a) marked as Confidential (with title, index, number of pages, etc.) and (b) other set marked as Non- Confidential (with title, index, number of pages, etc.). All the information supplied must be clearly marked as either “confidential” or “non-confidential” at the top of each page and accompanied with soft copies.
21. Information supplied without any confidential marking shall be treated as non confidential and the Authority shall be at liberty to allow the other interested parties to inspect any such non-confidential information. Two (2) copies of the confidential version and two (02) copies of the non-confidential version must be submitted by all the interested parties.
22. For information claimed as confidential, the supplier of the information is required to provide a good cause statement along with the supplied information as to why such information cannot be disclosed and/or why summarization of such information is not possible.
23. The non-confidential version is required to be a replica of the confidential version with the confidential information preferably indexed or blanked out /summarized depending upon the information on which confidentiality is claimed. The non-confidential summary must be in sufficient detail to permit a reasonable understanding of the substance of the information furnished on confidential basis. However, in exceptional circumstances, parties submitting the confidential information may indicate that such information is not susceptible to summarization; a statement of reasons why summarization is not possible must be provided to the satisfaction of the Authority.
24. The Authority may accept or reject the request for confidentiality on examination of the nature of the information submitted. If the Authority is satisfied that the request for confidentiality is not warranted or the supplier of the information is either unwilling to make the information public or to authorize its disclosure in generalized or summary form, it may disregard such information.
25. Any submission made without a meaningful non-confidential version thereof or without a good cause statement on the confidentiality claim may not be taken on record by the Authority. The Authority on being satisfied and accepting the need for confidentiality of the information provided; shall not disclose it to any party without specific authorization of the party providing such information.

Inspection of public file

26. In terms of rule 6(7) of the Rules, any interested party may inspect the public file containing non-confidential version of the evidences submitted by other interested parties.

Non-cooperation

27. In case any interested party refuses access to and otherwise does not provide necessary information within a reasonable period, or significantly impedes the investigation, the Authority may declare such interested party as non-cooperative and record its findings on the basis of the facts available to it and make such recommendations to the Central Government as deemed fit.

(J. K. Dadoo)
Designated Authority