

To be published in the Part 1 Section 1 of Gazette of India, Extraordinary

**Government of India
Ministry of Commerce & Industry
(Department of Commerce)
(DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF ANTI-DUMPING AND ALLIED DUTIES)**

NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, The 29th February 2008

Initiation

(Sunset Review)

Subject: Initiation of Sunset Review anti-dumping investigation concerning imports of Hexa Methylene Tetramine (Hexamine) originating in or exported from the Islamic republic of Iran

No.15/10/2007-DGAD – Whereas the Designated Authority, having regard to the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 as amended in 1995 and the Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Duty or Additional Duty on Dumped Articles and for Determination of Injury) Rules, 1995, recommended imposition of provisional duty vide notification dated 23rd December 2002 and provisional duty was imposed by the Govt. of India, vide notification No. 42/2003 dated 17th March 2003. The Authority issued its Final findings recommending imposition of definitive Anti Dumping Duty on imports of Hexa Methylene Tetramine (Hexamine) (hereinafter referred to as subject goods) originating in or exported from Iran. Vide notification dated 17th September 2003 the investigation was suspended upon voluntary price undertaking by M/s Sina Chemical Industries Co. (SCIC) of Iran. However, the Authority resumed the investigation vide notification dated 15th October 2004 and recommended definitive antidumping duty vide notification dated 11th April 2005. Definitive duty was imposed by the Govt. of India vide Notification No: 58/2005 dated 30.06.2005.

2. Request for Review and Initiation

AND WHEREAS in terms of the Customs Tariff (Amendment) Act 1995 the antidumping duty imposed shall unless revoked earlier, cease to have effect on expiry of five years from the date of such imposition. Provided that if the Central Government, in a review, is of the opinion that the cessation of such duty is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and injury, it may from time to time extend the period of such imposition for a further period of five years.

In terms of the above provisions, M/s Simalin Chemical Industries and M/s Kanoria Chemicals and Industries Limited have approached the authority with a petition requesting for such a review. In view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court in the Writ Petition No 16893 of 2006 (Indian Metal & Ferro Alloys Ltd Vs Designated Authority) holding that sunset review is mandatory and such review is required to be carried out in terms of Rule 23 of the Rules, the Designated Authority hereby initiates the sunset review proceedings, in accordance with Section 9 A (5) of the Act, to examine whether cessation of the duty in force would lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and injury to the domestic industry.

3. Product under consideration

The product under consideration in the original investigation was Hexa Methylene Tetramine, also known as Hexamine in market parlance. Hexa Methylene Tetramine is a white crystalline powder with a sweet metallic taste. In the pure form, it is colourless and odourless. It crystallizes in rhombic dodecahedrons. Hexa Methylene Tetramine compound is also known as Ammoform, Methenamine, Cystamine, Cystogen, Urotropine. It is classified under Chapter 29 of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 and ITC no. 2921.2901. Most important industrial use of Hexamine is for the manufacture of solid phenolic resins. The other important use is for the manufacture of a high explosive cyclonite. Hexamine being anti-bacterial in action is used as a urinary antiseptic. This being a sunset review of the product against which duty is already in force the product under consideration shall remain unchanged.

4. Procedure

The investigation will determine whether the cessation of the duty in force is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and injury.

- (i) The review will cover all aspects of Notification No. **No. 14/35/2002-DGAD** dated 11.04.2005;
- (ii) The country involved in this investigation is Iran;
- (iii) The period of investigation for the purpose of the present review is from 01.01.2007 to 31.12.2007;
- (iv) The provisions of Rules 6,7,8,9,10,11,16,17,18,19 and 20 of the Rule supra shall be mutatis mutandis applicable in this review.

4.1 Submission of Information:

The exporters in subject country, the government of Islamic Republic of Iran through its embassy in India, the importers and users in India known to be concerned with the product and the domestic industry, are being addressed separately to submit relevant information in the form and manner prescribed and to make their views known to the Authority in the following address:

**The Designated Authority
Directorate General of Anti-Dumping and Allied Duties
Government of India
Ministry of Commerce and Industry
Department of Commerce
Udyog Bhavan, New Delhi-110011.**

Any other interested party may also make its submissions relevant to the investigation in the prescribed form and manner within the time limit set out below.

4.2 Time Limit:

Any information relating to the present review and any request for hearing should be sent in writing so as to reach the Authority at the address mentioned above not later than forty days (40 Days) from the date of publication of this review notification. If no information is received within the prescribed time limit or the information received is incomplete, the Designated Authority may record its findings on the basis of the facts available on record in accordance with the Rules supra.

4.3 Submission of information on Non-confidential basis:

In terms of Rule 6(7), of the Rules the interested parties are required to submit non-confidential version of any confidential information provided to the Authority. The non-confidential version or non-confidential summary of the confidential information should be in sufficient detail to provide a meaningful understanding of the information to the other interested parties. If in the opinion of the party providing such information, such information is not susceptible to summary, a statement of reason thereof is required to be provided.

4.4 Use of facts available

In case where an interested party refuses access to, or otherwise does not provide necessary information within a reasonable period, or significantly impedes the investigation, the Authority may record its findings on the basis of the facts available to it and make such recommendations to the Central Government as deemed fit.

4.5 Inspection of public file:

Any interested party may inspect the public file containing non-confidential version of the evidence submitted by other interested parties in terms of Rule 6 (7).

**R. Gopalan
Designated Authority**